COLUMBIA BASIN BOUNDARY BUSINESS RETENTION AND EXPANSION PROJECT

REPORT ON BOUNDARY REGION BUSINESSES

March 2013







Columbia Basin Business Retention and Expansion Project: Report on Boundary Businesses

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report describes findings from a 110-question Business Retention and Expansion (BRE) survey conducted at 58 businesses in the Boundary region in 2012. BRE is an action-oriented and community-based approach to business and economic development. It promotes job growth by helping communities to learn about concerns of, as well as opportunities for, local businesses and to set priorities for projects to address those needs.

Key Research Findings

Select survey results are summarized below.

| Survey Module | Finding |
|----------------------------|---|
| Company Information | The highest number of respondents businesses are classified as 'Retail |
| | and Wholesale Trade' under the North American Industry Classification |
| | system |
| | 41% of companies have been in business for more than 20 years |
| Local Workforce | Respondents reported a total of 914 employees, 78% of whom are full- time |
| | 40% of businesses expect the size of their full-time workforce to |
| | increase over the next 3 years and 4% expect it to decrease |
| | Skilled trades and retail/hospitality were most commonly listed as key training areas |
| Sales | Respondents reported a total of over \$77 million in annual sales; |
| | however, the highest number of businesses (30%) indicated that their |
| | sales revenue is less than \$100,000 per year |
| | 31% of businesses expect that their sales will grow by between 10 and |
| | 24% over the next year |
| | 78% of businesses make the majority of their sales to local customers |
| Facilities and Equipment | 70% of businesses own the facility in which they operate |
| | 46% of businesses plan to expand within 3 years with a total estimated |
| | expansion budget of over \$37 million |
| Government Services | Government services that received the lowest ratings include access to |
| | airport facilities and access to suppliers |
| | Businesses indicated that approvals/permits and telecommunications |
| | are the government services most in need of improvement |
| Business Climate | Business climate factors that received the lowest ratings include the |
| | provincial tax structure and the overall business climate |
| | Businesses most commonly listed the region's small town culture/sense |
| | of community as its greatest strength |
| Assessment and Plans | 71% of businesses rated the overall health of their company as good |
| | 88% of businesses are at low risk of closing or downsizing |
| Issues of Regional | The business competitiveness factors that are most important to |
| Interest | respondents include energy costs, workforce skill development and |
| | improvement of customer services |
| | 86% of businesses said that cost of living is a critical issue for their staff |

NEXT STEPS AND POTENTIAL ACTIONS

The results of this survey can be used by economic development organizations in the Boundary Region to inform short- and long-term business retention and expansion action planning. Many BRE programs ensure that follow-up actions occur as soon as possible following completion of the survey stage. This approach builds credibility, a sense of success and momentum to carry out longterm actions.

Research findings suggest that the following action areas have the greatest potential to improve the Boundary business climate:

Business expansion: Businesses are planning significant investment in expansion over the near term. Actions on this theme could involve assisting with site selection, connecting businesses to sources of financing, facilitating access to expansion support programs or liaising with governments to ensure approval processes meet the needs of local businesses.

Supply sourcing: Businesses are selling primarily to local customers, yet acquiring supplies primarily from outside the region. Additional research on this theme could help determine if there are opportunities to address barriers that prevent businesses from buying locally.

Training opportunities: Respondents acknowledged the importance of skilled staff to their business and identified trades and retail/hospitality as key professional development areas. Given the limited time and funding resources available to the types of small businesses that characterize the Boundary economy, any actions on this theme must consider business schedules and training budgets.

Employee and customer recruitment: The small and aging Boundary population was often discussed as an inhibitor of economic growth. Further, many businesses indicated that they have experienced challenges recruiting new employees, especially maintenance workers and hospitality/service personnel. Actions on this theme could involve assisting businesses and communities with coordinated recruitment initiatives targeted at other regions.

Succession and business planning: Engagement with formal business and succession planning is low in the region and, given the aging workforce, could be important to the future of the Boundary economy. Actions on this theme could involve offering basic courses or more targeted one-on-one support to businesses. Any opportunities should be aggressively advertised to ensure uptake.

Government services: Approvals, regulations and taxes administered by various levels of government were often cited by respondents as factors that inhibit business activity in the region. Additional research on this theme could help further inform the exact nature of businesses' perceptions regarding the regulatory barriers to their growth.

Wages: Data suggest that wages at many Boundary businesses are somewhat low. Raising wages could help improve recruitment of workers and retention of youth in the community. A potential action on this theme involves facilitating access to wage subsidy programs targeted at small businesses.

CONTENTS

| PROJECT OVERVIEW1 |
|-------------------------------------|
| THE BRE CONCEPT1 |
| PROJECT OBJECTIVES1 |
| RESEARCH CONSIDERATIONS1 |
| THE BRE SURVEY |
| THE DATA SET |
| DATA COLLECTION |
| DATA INPUT, ANALYSIS AND REPORTING2 |
| RESEARCH FINDINGS2 |
| COMPANY INFORMATION |
| LOCAL WORKFORCE |
| SALES |
| FACILITIES AND EQUIPMENT |
| GOVERNMENT SERVICES |
| BUSINESS CLIMATE |
| Assessment and Plans |
| ISSUES OF REGIONAL INTEREST |
| RECOMMENDATIONS |
| NEXT STEPS |
| POTENTIAL ACTION AREAS |
| APPENDIX A: THE BRE SURVEY40 |
| APPENDIX B: DATA TABLES |
| COMPANY INFORMATION |
| LOCAL WORKFORCE |

Columbia Basin Business Retention and Expansion Project: Report on Boundary Businesses

| SALES | 62 |
|----------------------------|----|
| ACILITIES AND EQUIPMENT | 67 |
| GOVERNMENT SERVICES | 71 |
| BUSINESS CLIMATE FACTORS | 73 |
| Assessment and Plans | 76 |
| ssues of Regional Interest | 78 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure 1: NAICS code category | 3 |
|---|------|
| Figure 2: Function of facility | 3 |
| Figure 3: Location of competitors | 3 |
| Figure 4: Success factors | 4 |
| Figure 5: Key words in respondents' discussions of the factors that make their company successf | |
| | |
| Figure 6: Other similar locations | 5 |
| Figure 7: Life cycle stage | |
| Figure 8: Length of time in business | |
| Figure 9: Type of company | |
| Figure 10: Location of headquarters | |
| Figure 11: Ownership and management changes | |
| Figure 12: Anticipated timeline for ownership change | |
| Figure 13: Anticipated exit strategy | |
| Figure 14: Existence of a formal succession plan | |
| Figure 15: Existence of a current business plan | |
| Figure 16: Total number of employees | |
| Figure 17: Nature of employment | |
| Figure 18: Employment trend over last 10 years | |
| Figure 19: Full-time employment trend over last 3 years | |
| Figure 20: Full-time employment trend over next 3 years | |
| Figure 21: Age of majority of essential employees | |
| Figure 22: Wage in relation to other local businesses | |
| Figure 23: Trend in unfilled positions | |
| Figure 24: Location of workforce recruitment | |
| Figure 25: Recruitment and retention challenges | |
| Figure 26: Key words in respondents' discussions of recruitment activities | |
| Figure 27: Key words in respondents' discussions of retention activities | |
| Figure 28: Current recruitment areas | |
| Figure 29: Future recruitment areas | |
| Figure 30: Skill level of majority of workforce | .14 |
| Figure 31: Training or professional development needs | . 15 |
| Figure 32: Preferred modes of training | . 15 |
| Figure 33: Usual training locations. | .16 |
| Figure 34: Union status. | |
| Figure 35: Annual sales | .16 |
| Figure 36: Status of market for product or service | . 17 |
| Figure 37: Status of market share | . 17 |
| Figure 38: Projected sales growth over next year | . 17 |
| Figure 39: Historic sales trend at facility | . 18 |
| Figure 40: Historic sales trend at parent company | .18 |
| Figure 41: Historic sales trend in industry | .18 |
| Figure 42: Historic export sales trend | .19 |
| Figure 43: Component of sales generated by top 3 customers | .19 |
| Figure 44: Geographic source of majority of sales | .19 |
| Figure 45: International trade status | .20 |

| Figure 46: Engagement with government procurement processes | 20 |
|--|------|
| Figure 47: Geographic source of majority of supplies | . 20 |
| Figure 48: Size of facility | 21 |
| Figure 49: Condition of facility | 21 |
| Figure 50: Condition of equipment | .21 |
| Figure 51: Number of shifts | 22 |
| Figure 52: Ownership status of facility | 22 |
| Figure 53: Length of time remaining on lease | |
| Figure 54: Investment in facility (past 18 months) | 23 |
| Figure 55: Investment in equipment (past 18 months) | |
| Figure 56: Plans to expand within 3 years | 24 |
| Figure 57: Adequacy of current site for expansion | 24 |
| Figure 58: Estimated expansion investment | 24 |
| Figure 59: Barriers to expansion of business | .25 |
| Figure 60: Expansion assistance sought | .25 |
| Figure 61: Consideration of energy efficiency in expansion plans | 26 |
| Figure 62: Awareness of BC Hydro Power Smart | 26 |
| Figure 63: Quality of government services | 27 |
| Figure 64: Suggested improvements to government services | 27 |
| Figure 65: Business climate factors | 28 |
| Figure 66: Business climate today vs. 5 years ago | . 29 |
| Figure 67: Business climate 5 years from today | . 29 |
| Figure 68: Key words in respondents' discussions of the community's strengths as a place to do | |
| business | . 30 |
| Figure 69: Key words in respondents' discussions of the community's weaknesses as a place to c | ot |
| business | .30 |
| Figure 70: Barriers to growth of the community's economy | .31 |
| Figure 71: Key words in respondents' discussions of customers to attract to the area | .31 |
| Figure 72: Overall health of company | .32 |
| Figure 73: Overall health of parent company | .32 |
| Figure 74: Local management's attitude toward community | .32 |
| Figure 75: Parent company's attitude toward community | .33 |
| Figure 76: Risk of facility closing | .33 |
| Figure 77: Risk of facility downsizing | .33 |
| Figure 78: Critical issues for employees | .34 |
| Figure 79: Home of employees | |
| Figure 80: Reasons for out-of-area purchasing | .35 |
| Figure 81: Business competitiveness factors | |
| Figure 82: Economic drivers with growth potential | .36 |

PROJECT OVERVIEW

This report describes findings from a Business Retention and Expansion (BRE) survey conducted in the Boundary region in 2012. The Boundary Country Regional Chamber of Commerce (BCRCC) acted as the community lead for the Boundary region BRE project. The Columbia Basin Rural Development Institute (RDI) provided training, data analysis and report writing support.

THE BRE CONCEPT

BRE is an action-oriented and community-based approach to business and economic development. It promotes job growth by helping communities to learn about concerns of, as well as opportunities for, local businesses and to set priorities for projects to address those needs. Ultimately, communities will have greater success in attracting new businesses if existing businesses are content with local economic conditions and community support. Business development and job creation are key factors in fostering healthy and vibrant communities—depending on the characteristics of a community's economy, anywhere from 40 to 90 per cent of new jobs come from existing businesses.

PROJECT OBJECTIVES

Objectives specific to the Boundary region BRE project were as follows:

- 1. To provide data on key challenges, issues and opportunities faced by businesses in the Boundary region.
- 2. To develop data-supported recommendations regarding important future focus areas for strengthening the area's business climate.

Research Considerations

THE BRE SURVEY

The RDI has a licence agreement with the Economic Development Association of BC for BC Business Counts, a program that provides access to an online BRE survey, contact management, and reporting system called ExecutivePulse. Data presented in this report were collected as part of a comprehensive BRE survey that is aligned with surveys conducted by other participants in the BC Business Counts program across the province. Survey data can therefore be analyzed at a community, sub-regional, regional and provincial level.

The base survey, consisting of 94 questions, includes modules for company information, the local workforce, sales, facilities and equipment, and future plans for growth or succession. Based on feedback from a BRE regional advisory group, ten region-specific questions were appended to the base BRE survey. A number of sector-specific add-on surveys were also made available, consisting of approximately 20 questions each. Researchers verified each business' North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) code in advance of the interview to determine which sector-specific add on survey to include, if any. See Appendix A for a copy of the base BRE survey.

THE DATA SET

To generate an initial set of potential research participants, researchers reviewed local business license and Chamber of Commerce membership databases. A cross-section of businesses were selected based on size (small, medium, large) and type (according to the NAICS system). A total of 80 businesses were sent an invitation letter and copy of the BRE survey. Larger businesses received a follow-up invite by telephone. In total, 58 Boundary region businesses were surveyed for this project (a 73% response rate). Respondent businesses were located in Grand Forks and Regional District of Kootenay Boundary (RDKB) Area D (35), Christina Lake (8), Midway (7), RDKB Area E (6), and Greenwood (2).

DATA COLLECTION

Data were collected by local researchers, including and as directed by the BCRCC Executive Director, using structured interviews that lasted approximately one hour and twenty minutes. The process in total took approximately four hours per business, including setting up interviews, the interview itself, and data input.

DATA INPUT, ANALYSIS AND REPORTING

Data were entered into the ExecutivePulse system by researchers following interviews. To ensure confidentiality and data security, company-level data were only made accessible to RDI staff and the lead BCRCC researcher.


Quantitative data were analysed using descriptive statistics and qualitative data were analysed using the grounded theory method of generating key coding themes. Based on the results of data analysis activities, an initial set of recommendations was generated by RDI and then reviewed with BCRCC. Findings and related recommendations were assembled into this report by RDI researchers.

RESEARCH FINDINGS

COMPANY INFORMATION

Type of Product/Service Offered

Interviewed businesses represented a diverse cross-section of industries. Most common were retail and wholesale trade (29% of respondents), accommodation and food service (19%) and manufacturing (16%).



Eighty-five percent of respondents indicated that their facility fulfills a service function and 33% indicated that their facility serves as company headquarters. The remaining respondents indicated that their facility serves various other functions.





Figure 2: Function of facility


Competition

Seventy-nine percent of respondents reported that their competitors are primarily located within the community or region and 24% indicated that their competitors are located elsewhere in BC.

Figure 3: Location of competitors



When asked what factors make their company successful in the community, the highest number (41%) cited the quality of their staff/customer service. Twenty-eight percent said the quality of their products is a key factor in determining their success.








Forteen percent of interviewed businesses indicated that their company has a similar location elsewhere in Canada and 12% indicated that their company has a similar location in another country.

Figure 6: Other similar locations



Age and Life Cycle Stage

Over half of businesses (55%) indicated that they are in the 'growing' life cycle stage and 38% indicated that they are in the 'maturing' life cycle stage.






Figure 7: Life cycle stage

Forty-one percent of respondent businesses have been in business for more than 20 years and 3% are less than one year old.







Ownership and Management


Most respondents (62%) indicated that their business is registered as a corporation and 22% indicated that they are a sole proprietorship. The remaining businesses are classified as a

partnership (10%), limited liability partnership (2%), non-profit (2%) or other type of company (2%).





The vast majority of respondents (95%) reported that their company is headquartered in BC.





Twenty-six percent of businesses reported that their company has seen a recent ownership change, 17% reported a recent management change and 14% anticipate an ownership change in the near term. Of the businesses reporting a recent ownership change, 12 said the impact was positive and 2 said it was neutral. Of the businesses reporting a recent management change, 8 said the impact was positive and 1 said it was neutral.






Figure 11: Ownership and management changes

Succession and Business Plans

Of the businesses that expect to see an ownership change in the near future, 3 think the process will take 3 years or more, 2 think it will take 2 years and 2 think it will take 1 year or less.





Again, of the respondents that anticipate a near-term ownership change, 2 expect to exit the business by selling it to another company, 3 expect to sell or transfer it to another non-family individual and 3 expect to sell or transfer it to a family member.




Figure 13: Anticipated exit strategy

Twenty-two percent of respondents indicated that they have identified a successor but only 12% reported that they have a formal succession plan in place.




Figure 14: Existence of a formal succession plan

Engagement with business planning is stronger than that for formal succession planning—50% of businesses indicated that they have a current business plan.




Figure 15: Existence of a current business plan

LOCAL WORKFORCE

Size of Workforce

The 58 businesses interviewed reported a total of 914 employees. Sixty-five percent indicated that they have less than 10 employees and 3% indicated that they have more than 100 employees.







Figure 16: Total number of employees


Over three quarters (78%) of positions at surveyed businesses are full-time, 18% are part time and 3% are temporary.





Sixty-two percent of respondents indicated that the number of employees at their facility is about the same as it was 10 years ago. Thirty-one percent reported that their workforce has increased in size over the same time period.





Respondents reported a similar trend specific to full-time employment over the last 3 years. Fiftyseven percent of businesses indicated that the size of their full-time workforce has stayed the same and 34% indicated that it has increased in size.




Figure 19: Full-time employment trend over last 3 years

Fifty-six percent of respondents expect that the size of their full-time workforce will stay the same over the next 3 years and 40% expect that it will increase.






Figure 20: Full-time employment trend over next 3 years

Workforce Deomographics


The average age of workers in the Boundary region appears to be high, with 38% of respondents indicating that the majority of their essential employees are between 35 and 49 years of age, and 29% of respondents indicated that the majority of their essential employees are over 50.






Wages

When reporting on average wages for skilled or professional workers in the area, the highest number of respondents (50%) indicated that they pay under \$20 per hour. For semi-skilled workers, the highest number of respondents (53%) indicated that they pay between \$10 and \$14 per hour. For entry-level workers, the highest number of respondents (69%) indicated that they pay between \$10 and \$12 per hour. Forty-five percent of businesses think they pay more than other businesses in the area and 42% think they pay about the same.






Recruitment and Retention

The vast majority of respondents (98%) reported that the number of unfilled positions at their facility is staying relatively constant.





Eighty-nine percent of respondents indicated that they mainly recruit locally, 9% recruit provincially and 2% recruit at a national scale.




Figure 24: Location of workforce recruitment

Thirty-eight percent of respondents have experienced challenges recruiting new employees and 14% have experienced challenges retaining existing employees.




Figure 25: Recruitment and retention challenges

When asked what efforts their business has undertaken to recruit new employees, the highest number said that they formally advertise in papers, on the web or on job boards. Some also said that they rely on word of mouth advertising.

Figure 26: Key words in respondents' discussions of recruitment activities





When asked what efforts their business has undertaken to retain existing employees, the highest number (7) stated that they have focused on offering competitive wages. Others reported that they have offered benefits packages (5), allowed flexibility in workers' schedules (4), offered employee training opportunities (4) and/or developed a culture of respect in the workplace (3).





The most important current recruitment areas among surveyed businesses include maintenance workers (6 respondents) and hospitality/service workers (5).





Anticipated furture recruitment areas are similar to current recruitment areas. Five businesses expect recruitment challenges with maintenance personnel and 4 expect challenges with hospitality/service workers.




Figure 29: Future recruitment areas

Skills and Training

Over half of respondents (53%) indicated that the majority of their workforce is comprised of skilled or professional workers, 26% indicated that they primarily employ semi-skilled workers and 19% indicated that their workforce is primarily comprised of entry-level employees.




Figure 30: Skill level of majority of workforce

Only 28% of respondents indicated that their company has a training budget; however, 62% reported that there are areas of training or professional development that would be of benefit to

their employees. Most commonly listed among these areas were specialized trades training (27% of respondents) and retail and hospitality training (18%).






Figure 31: Training or professional development needs


Thirty-nine percent of businesses interviewed prefer that their training be delivered through an inperson classroom or seminar-style format, 30% prefer individual coaching and 28% prefer online training/webinars.





The highest number of respondents (36%) stated that they usually undertake training in other areas of of BC and 31% indicated that they primarily attend local training opportunities.






Unions

Two interviewed businesses reported union status.

Figure 34: Union status



SALES


Market Size and Share

Interviewed businesses reported a total of over \$77 million in annual sales; however, the highest number of respondents (30%) indicated that their sales are less than \$100,000 per year. Six percent of respondents reported annual sales of over \$5 million.

Figure 35: Annual sales



Almost half of respondents (48%) reported that the market for their product or service is increasing, 43% indicated that it is stable and 9% indicated that is decreasing.





A similar trend was reported for respondents' share of the market for their product or service. Forty-eight percent indicated that it is increasing and 46% indicated that it is stable.




Figure 37: Status of market share

Growth

Most surveyed businesses project low to moderate growth in sales over the coming year. Thirtyone percent expect to see growth of between 10 and 24%. Only 2% expect sales to decline.




Figure 38: Projected sales growth over next year

The majority of respondents (59%) indicated that their sales have increased historically, 33% reported that sales have stayed stable and 9% indicated that sales have declined over time.




Figure 39: Historic sales trend at facility

For businesses that have a parent company, the historic sales trend is slightly more positive. Of the 11 respondents for this question, 64% indicated that their parent company's sales have increased over time and 36% indicated that sales have remained stable.




Figure 40: Historic sales trend at parent company

The historic sales trend for respondents' repective industries is less positive. Thirty percent of businesses indicated that sales have increased, 32% indicated they have been stable and 11% indicated they have been declining.




Figure 41: Historic sales trend in industry

Of the businesses that export to international markets, over half (53%) reported that their sales have been increasing over time, 33% reported that they have been stable and 13% reported that they have been declining.




Figure 42: Historic export sales trend

Source of Sales

Data indicate that Boundary businesses have a relatively diverse customer base, with 51% of respondents indicating that less than 10% of their sales are generated by their top 3 customers.




Figure 43: Component of sales generated by top 3 customers

Boundary businesses also appear to be relatively dependent on local markets. Seventy-four percent of respondents indicated that the majority of their sales are to local customers.




Figure 44: Geographic source of majority of sales

Sixteen percent of interviewed businesses export products or services and 11% import. Eighty-two percent of respondents do not engage in international trade.





Figure 45: International trade status

Procurement

Eighty-four percent of respondents indicated that they do not engage in government procurement processes.





Forty-three percent of businesses interviewed reported that they acquire 50% or more of their supplies from local sources and 45% indicated that they source the majority of their supplies from elsewhere in BC.




Figure 47: Geographic source of majority of supplies

FACILITIES AND EQUIPMENT

Size and Condition

The highest number of respondents (33%) have facilities that are between 1,000 and 4,999 square feet in size. Twelve percent of respondents (likely home businesses) reported that they do not have a facility.





Eight-five percent of businesses indicated that their facility is in good or excellent condition. Notably, no respondents indicated that their facility is in poor condition.




Figure 49: Condition of facility

Similarly, 87% of businesses indicated that their equipment is in good or excellent condition.






Figure 50: Condition of equipment

Shifts

Most businesses (72%) operate one shift per day. Twenty-one percent operate 2 shifts and 8% operate 24 hours per day.






Ownership

Seventy percent of respondents own their facility and 30% lease it.





Of the businesses that lease their facility, an equal number (36% each) have less than one year and between 3 and 5 years remaining on their lease agreement. Eight-eight percent of respondents plan to renew the lease for their current facility.




Figure 53: Length of time remaining on lease

Investment and Expansion

Forty-seven percent of respondents reported that investment in their facility has increased over the last 18 months and 44% reported that it has stayed the same.





Figure 54: Investment in facility (past 18 months)


Investment in equipment seems to be higher among Boundary businesses. Sixty-one percent of respondents indicated that investment in their business' equipment has increased over the last 18 months and only 4% indicated that it has declined.

Figure 55: Investment in equipment (past 18 months)



Just under half of interviewed businesses (46%) plan to expand within 3 years. Of those businesses, a strong majority (88%) plan to expand within the Boundary region and 83% expect the expansion process to take between 1 and 3 years.

Figure 56: Plans to expand within 3 years



Of the businesses planning a near-term expansion, 78% reported that their current site will be adequate. Five businesses (22%) indicated that they will need to find a new site to support their expansion needs.





Figure 57: Adequacy of current site for expansion

In total, the Boundary businesses interviewed plan to invest more than \$37 million in expansion over the next three years. That being said, the highest number of businesses (44%) plan to spend less than \$25,000.






Respondents cited a variety of factors as barriers to their expansion. Most common were financing (38%), a lack of skilled staff (24%), identifying/accessing new markets (19%) and local regulations/zoning (19%).




Five businesses have sought assistance with their expansion plans. Of those respondents, 1 has approach Community Futures, 1 has approached BC Hydro, 1 has approached the Business Development Bank of Canada and 2 have approached their local government.





Energy Efficiency

Over half of respondents (52%) are considering energy efficiency in their expansion plans.



Sixty-seven percent of businesses are aware of the energy efficiency resources made available through the BC Hydro Power Smart program.




Figure 62: Awareness of BC Hydro Power Smart

GOVERNMENT SERVICES

Respondents were asked to rate a list of government services as excellent, good, average, fair or poor. Respondents also had the option of indicating that a service is not applicable to their business. The services that received the highest number of excellent or good ratings include access to highways/roadways (90%), water and sewerage supply (69%) and recycling (69%). Services that received the highest number of fair or poor ratings include access to airport facilities (39%) and access to suppliers (33%). Services that the most respondents thought are not applicable to their business include availability of rail transport services (79%) and availability of buildings (62%).




Figure 63: Quality of government services

When asked to provide suggestions on how to improve government services, the highest number of respondents (40%) indicated that approvals and permits should be a focus area. Twenty percent also indicated that telecommunications services need improvement.





Figure 64: Suggested improvements to government services

BUSINESS CLIMATE


Quality of Business Climate

Respondents rated a list of business climate factors as excellent, good, fair or poor. Factors that received the highest number of excellent or good ratings include workforce quality (60%) and workforce stability (59%). Factors that received the highest number of fair or poor ratings include the provincial tax structure (72%) and the overall business climate (67%).





Over half of respondents (53%) felt that the Boundary business climate is worse today than it was 5 years ago. Twenty one percent thought it is better.



The majority of respondents (53%) are optimistic that the business climate will better in 5 years. Seven percent thougt it will be worse.




Figure 67: Business climate 5 years from today

Strengths and Weaknesses of Business Climate

When asked to list the community's strengths as a place to do business, the highest number of respondents (45%) cited the small-town culture or sense of community. Many respondents (16%) also felt that the community is supportive of local businesses. For a full list of response themes, see Appendix B.

Figure 68: Key words in respondents' discussions of the community's strengths as a place to do business




When asked to list the community's weaknesses as a place to do business, the highest number of repsondents (36%) spoke of the size of the community/population. Many other respondents (13% each) cited the aging population or a lack of employment opportunities.


Figure 69: Key words in respondents' discussions of the community's weaknesses as a place to do business




When discussing key barriers to growing the community's economy, the highest number of respondents (26%) cited a negative attitude toward change or growth among the local population. Twenty-four percent of businesses also discussed problems with government approvals or zoning.



Eighteen percent of respondents felt it is important to attract certain suppliers and 54% of respondents felt it is important to attract certain customers to the region. The customers that respondents commonly listed as important include tourists/amenity migrants (8 respondents), businesses from elsewhere (6), seniors (4), young people (4) and agriculture/wineries (3).






ASSESSMENT AND PLANS


Overall Health

Seventy-one percent of respondents indicated the overall health of their company is good, 15% indicated it is excellent and 15% indicated it is fair.

Figure 72: Overall health of company




Of the businesses with a parent company, the majority (68%) reported that the health of their parent company is good.







Attitude toward Community


Fifty-three percent of respondents reported that their local management's attitude toward the community is good. Another 28% reported that it is excellent.






Among parent companies, the attitude is more positive. Of 17 relevant respondents, 59% reported that their parent company's attitude toward the community is excellent and 41% reported that it is good.







Risk of Closing or Downsizing


Eighty-eight percent of businesses interviewed indicated that the risk of their facility closing over the next one to three years is low.





Similarly, 88% of respondents indicated that the risk of their facility downsizing over the next one to three years is low.





ISSUES OF REGIONAL INTEREST

Employees

A strong majority of respondents (86%) indicated that cost of living is a critical issue for their employees. Many other businesses also cited transportation, housing and child care (41% each).





Figure 78: Critical issues for employees

The vast majority of employees at interviewed businesses live within the community. A small number of respondents (4%) indicated that a portion of their workforce lives elsewhere in the region.






Purchasing


When reflecting on their reasons for purchasing supplies from out-of-area businesses, the highest number of respondents (83%) stated that the products they need are not available in the region. Several others (21%) also indicated that products tend to cost more from local suppliers.

Figure 80: Reasons for out-of-area purchasing



Business Competitiveness

From a list of business competitiveness factors, those that received the highest number of somewhat important or very important ratings include workforce skill development (96%), energy costs (96%) and improvement of customer services (96%). Those that received the highest number of not very important or not important at all ratings include new market development outside of the region (33%) and reliable air transportation (26%). The factors that most businesses thought are not applicable to their business include access to exporting and international markets (59%) and reliable air transportation (48%).




50%

100%

0%

Economic Drivers

Respondents were asked to choose, from a list of economic drivers, those that they think have the most growth potential over the next 5 to 10 years. Factors that received the highest number of votes include tourism (70%), forestry (48%) and agriculture (30%). Notably, no respondents thought that the finance sector has high potential for growth over the near term.





RECOMMENDATIONS

NEXT STEPS

The results of this survey can be used by economic development organizations in the Boundary Region to inform short- and long-term business retention and expansion action planning. Many BRE programs ensure that follow-up actions occur as soon as possible following completion of the survey stage. This approach builds credibility, a sense of success and momentum to carry out long-term actions.

Successful BRE programs pick an initial set of short-term actions that:

- can be completed in 6 months or less;
- are highly visible to businesses and the community as a whole; and
- have the potential for considerable impact with minimal input (i.e., the "low hanging fruit").

Many BRE actions also lead to long-term programs (e.g., a 'Buy Local' program), or ongoing plans and policies. Patience and a commitment to success over the long-term are critical in determining the success of these initiatives. For this reason, BRE should be thought of, and implemented as, a process as opposed to a one-time project.

BRE results can form the backbone of a community's economic development strategy and, in some cases, they are integrated into Official Community Plans (OCPs). Some communities form committees or action groups around the themes or action areas identified in the BRE report to ensure success in implementation.

The following steps could further support links to planning and action:

- Prepare a follow-up report compiling current research findings alongside other relevant regional economic development research to identify common themes and further develop recommended actions.
- 2. Host a business stakeholder forum to present findings and prioritize actions. Ensure participation by businesses outside of Grand Forks by hosting forums in the rural areas, if needed.
- 3. Host a facilitated action planning session with economic development stakeholders and industry representatives.
- 4. Based on results from the forums and planning session, finalize priority actions, develop related action plans, update existing economic development plans and develop relationships with a broader network of support providers (at regional, provincial and national scales) to support implementation.
- 5. Establish a monitoring program to assess the impact of implementation efforts.

POTENTIAL ACTION AREAS

Business expansion

Boundary businesses are planning significant investment in expansion over the near term, about half of which will be spent on real estate and half of which will be spent on equipment/ technology. Since many businesses indicated that adequate financing is inhibiting their expansion, this trend could strengthen if access to financing were improved in the region. Actions on this theme may involve assisting in the location of potential expansion sites, connecting businesses with potential sources of financing, educating businesses of the types of expansion support available from external organizations or working with governments to ensure their approval processes meet the needs of local businesses (see 'Government services', below).

Supply sourcing

Less than half of respondents purchase the majority of their supplies from local suppliers, yet almost three quarters sell primarily to local customers. Combined, these two findings indicate that businesses' purchasing policies may be shrinking the Boundary economy by sending sales revenue out of the region. Though most respondents indicated that their reason for out-of-area purchasing is related to the availability of necessary supplies in the region, additional research on this theme could help determine if there are in fact opportunities to address some of the barriers to businesses buying locally.

Training opportunities

A lack of skilled staff was commonly cited as a barrier to expansion of businesses and the vast majority of respondents said that workforce skill development is important to the competitiveness of their business. Professional development areas most often listed by businesses include skilled trades and retail/hospitality. Uptake on training opportunities can be low in the region due to the limited time and financial resources available to the types of businesses that characterize Boundary Country (generally few employees with limited sales and no funds dedicated to training). Therefore, any actions on this theme must consider business schedules and training budgets. Educational and training institutions in the region could be approached as potential collaborators in meeting businesses' training needs.

Employee and customer recruitment

The small and aging Boundary population was often discussed as an inhibitor of economic growth. Further, about a third of businesses indicated that they have experienced challenges recruiting new employees, especially maintenance workers and hospitality/service personnel. Currently, respondents primarily recruit locally; therefore, actions on this theme could involve assisting businesses with recruiting further afield, either individually or as a business community. Recruitment initiatives could focus on young families and amenity migrants (two key 'customers to attract' as identified by respondents) and could promote the factors that respondents identified as strengths of the region (e.g., sense of community, support for local businesses).

Succession and business planning

Business and succession planning are critical to the health and longevity of businesses, yet only 12% of respondents indicated that they have a formal succession plan and half do not have an upto-date business plan. Since the Boundary workforce is aging, business succession could have a significant impact on the regional economy over the near- to medium-term. Open, instructive training sessions have the potential to provide a base level of support to a large number of businesses; however, given the importance of individual circumstances in business and succession planning, a more targeted, one-on-one assistance program could result in greater overall benefit by providing a higher level of support to 'at-risk' businesses. These opportunities should be aggressively advertised to ensure uptake among local businesses.

Government services

Approvals, regulations and taxes administered by various levels of government were often cited by respondents as factors that inhibit business activity in the region. Additional research on this theme could help further inform the exact nature of businesses' perceptions regarding the regulatory barriers to their growth. Sharing the results of this research with governing bodies could help them understand how they can better support business activities in the Boundary region.

Wages

Data suggests that wages at many Boundary businesses are somewhat low, with the average reported skilled or professional wage being \$22.48/hour and the average semi-skilled wage being \$15.48/hour. Raising wages could help improve recruitment of workers and retention of youth in the community. A potential action on this theme involves facilitating access to wage subsidy programs targeted at small businesses.

APPENDIX A: THE BRE SURVEY



| | Y INFORMATION Key: Select Multiple, O = Select One |
|-----|--|
| 1. | Description of products/services: |
| 2. | Who are your competitors (local, regional, national, international)? |
| 3. | What are the factors that make your company successful here? |
| 4. | Life cycle stage of firm's primary product/service: O Emerging O Growing O Maturing O Declining |
| 5. | What is this company's ownership status? O Privately owned O Publicly owned |
| 6. | What is this company's legal status? O Sole proprietorship O Partnership O Corporation O Limited liability partnership (LLP) O Non-profit O O |
| | If Other, please specify: |
| 7. | Location of company's headquarters: O In Province |
| | O Elsewhere in nation |
| | O Outside Canada |
| 8. | How long has this facility operated O <1 Year O 1-4 Years O 5-9 Years O 10-19 Years O >20 Years |
| 9. | Name of parent company, if different: |
| 10. | Functions located at this facility: Distribution Engineering/RD Headquarters Manufacturing Services Warehousing |
| 11. | Does this company have another Canadian location that provides a similar product/service as the local operation O Yes O No |
| | Similar Canadian notes: |
| 12. | Does this company have another location elsewhere in the world that provides a similar product/service as the operation: ${\rm O}$ Yes ${\rm O}$ No |
| | Similar world notes: |
| | |



- 13. Has the local facility changed owners in the past 5 years? O Yes O No
- If Yes, describe the local impact of the change in ownership:
 Positive O Neutral O Negative
- Has the local facility changed management in the past 5 years? O Yes O No
- 16. If Yes, describe the local impact of the change in management: O Positive O Neutral O Negative
- 17. Is an ownership change pending for this facility? O Yes O No
- If Yes what is the anticipated time frame:
 O 1 year or less O 2 years O 3 years or more
- If Yes, how do you intend to exit the business?
 Q Sell/transfer to a family member Q Sell/transfer to a non-family member Q Sell to another company Q Close the business down Q Other
- 20. What is your age group (estimate by interviewer do not ask) O Over 65 O 60-65 O 55-59 O 50-54 O 45-49 O 44 and under
- 21. Have you identified a successor to your business? O Yes O No
- 22. Is there a formal succession plan? O Yes O No O Not applicable
- 23. If Yes, have you been assisted in preparation of a succession plan? Q Yes Q No
- 24. If Yes, by whom: O Accountant O Banker O Business partner O Lawyer O Online Internet Resources O Personal financial planner O Spouse O Other
- 25. Does this firm have a current business plan? Q Yes Q No
- 26. Company information notes:

LOCAL WORKFORCE

\$

- Key: = Select Multiple, = Select One
- 27. Total number of employees at this facility:
 - _____ Full-time employees _____ Part-time employees
 - Temporary employees
 - _____ Total employees
- 28. Estimated total payroll for this location.



29. Historical employment trend: O Increasing O Staying the same O Declining

30. Projected number of employees at this facility in one year:

31. Projected number of employees at this facility in three years:

32. How did the number of staff change, if at all, in the last 3 years?

| 1 = Increased 2 = Stayed the same 3 = Decreased | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Staff | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Full time: | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Part time: | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Casual: | 0 | 0 | 0 |

33. How do you expect the number of staff to change, if at all over the next 3 years?

| 1 = Increased | | | |
|---------------------|---|---|---|
| 2 = Stayed the same | | | |
| 3 = Decreased | | | |
| Staff | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Full time: | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Part time: | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Casual: | 0 | 0 | 0 |

34. Is the number of unfilled positions: Q Increasing Q Stable Q Decreasing

35. Does the company provide a training budget in order to upgrade employee's skills? O Yes O No

- 36. Where does the company attract the majority of its workers from? O Locally O Provincially O Nationally O Internationally
- 37. Percent of workforce:

% Skilled/Professional

- % Semi-skilled
- % Entry-level
- 38. Average hourly wage:
 - \$_____ Skilled/Professional
 - \$_____Semi-skilled
 - \$_____Entry-level
- 39. Describe the wage scale here compared to all other firms locally: Q Greater than Q Same as Q Lower than
- 40. Describe the majority of essential personnel at this location: O Less than 25 O 26 - 34 O 35 - 49 O 50 or older



41. Do you have problems retaining employees? O Yes O No

Employee retention notes:

42. Is the company experiencing recruitment problems with any employee positions or skills? O Yes O No

If Yes, in which category(s)?
Administrative/Clerical Advanced Mfg Workers Agriculture/Farming Assembler/Line Workers CDL
Drivers Construction/Contractors Electrical/Electronics Food/Beverage Service General Laborer
Graphics Arts/Printing Healthcare Professionals Hospitality Service Machine Operators Machinists
Maintenance Manufacturing/Other Marketing Material/Fabricators Mechanics Plant Managers /
Operators Prof/Info Tech/Programming Professional/Other Professional/Technical Sales/Retail Sales/Service Other None

Other (specify job roles/titles):

43. Do you anticipate future recruiting difficulties i.e. 3-5 year? Q Yes Q No

If Yes, in what area(s)?

If Yes, in What area(s)?
Administrative/Clerical Advanced Mfg Workers Agriculture/Farming Assembler/Line Workers CDL
Drivers Construction/Contractors Electrical/Electronics Food/Beverage Service General Laborer
Graphics Arts/Printing Healthcare Professionals Hospitality Service Machine Operators Machinists
Maintenance Manufacturing/Other Marketing Professional/Fabricators Mechanics Plant
Managers/Operators Prof/Info Tech/Programming Professional/Other Professional/Technical Sales/Retail Sales/Service Other None

Other (specify job roles/titles):

44. Union status:

O Yes O No O Not applicable

- 45. If Yes, what is the status of labor-management relations? Q Excellent Q Good Q Fair Q Poor
- 46. Are there any areas of training or professional development that would be of benefit to you or your employees? Q Yes Q No

If Yes, what are they?

47. When you do attend training/certification, where do you usually access the training? □ Local □ Regional □ British Columbia □ Rest of Canada □ United States

48. What modes of education/training work best for you? Classroom D Individual coaching D Online/ Webinar training D Other



- 49. Are you aware of any anticipated trends, technologies, significant changes that will be occurring in your industry that will require new skills?
 O Yes O No
- 50. Workforce notes:

SALES

Key: = Select Multiple, O = Select One

- 51. Annual sales at this facility:
- \$ _____ Annual sales private
- 52. Is the market for your product: O Increasing O Stable O Decreasing
- 53. Is the market share (compared to your competitors) of your company's products: O Increasing O Stable O Decreasing
- 54. What is the projected sales growth in the next year at this facility? ○ Greater than or equal to 100% ○ 50 - 99% ○ 25 - 49% ○ 10 - 24% ○ 1 - 9% ○ 0% ○ Declining
- 55. Historical sales trend:

| Please use the following rating scale: | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 = Increasing; 2 = Staying the same; 3 = Declining; 4 = Not applicable | | | | |
| Historical sales trend | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| At this facility | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| At the parent company | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Within the industry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

- 56. Sales trend notes:
- 57. Percent of total sales generated by top 3 customers: Q 76 - 100% Q 51 - 75% Q 26 - 50% Q 10 - 25% Q 1 - 9%
- 58. Do you engage in government procurement: Q Yes Q No

59. Please identify the source of your sales by percentage:

| r lease identity the sou | 100 | or your sales by pe |
|--------------------------|-----|---------------------|
| | % | Local / Regional |
| | % | Provincial |
| | % | National |

- _____% United States
 - % International



| 60 | Disease identif | the equires | ofvour | oundiad | hunne | mentere: |
|-----|-----------------|--------------|-----------|----------|--------|-----------|
| 60. | Please identif | y the source | e or your | supplies | by per | rcentage. |

| % | Local / Regional | |
|---|------------------|--|
|---|------------------|--|

% Provincial

% National

| . % | United States |
|-----|---------------|
| % | International |

61. International trade status: ☐ Import ☐ Export ☐ None ☐ Not applicable

62. Historical export sales trend: O Increasing O Staying the same O Declining O Not applicable

63. Sales notes:

FACILITY/EQUIPMENT

Key: = Select Multiple, O = Select One

- 64. What is the square footage of your current facility?
- 65. Status of facility: O Owned O Leased

If Leased, what is the length of term remaining O <1 Year O 1 - 2 Years O 3 - 5 Years O > 5 Years

66. Are you planning on renewing current lease? O Yes O No

If No, why not

67. Facility condition:

| Please use the following rating scale: 1 = Excellent; 2 = Good; 3 = Fair; 4 = Poor | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Facility condition | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Condition of facility | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Condition of equipment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Describe the operations at this site: O One shift O Two shifts O 24 hours O Other

If Other, please specify:



69. Historical investment trends:

| Please use the following rating scale: 1 = Increasing; 2 = Staying the same; 3 = Declining Historical investment trends | 1 | 2 | 3 |
|---|---|----------|----------|
| Over past 18 months in the <u>facility</u> | 0 | <u>_</u> | <u> </u> |
| Over past 18 months in the equipment at this facility | 0 | 0 | 0 |

- 70. Is there room for expansion at this site? O Yes O No O Maybe
- 71. Are you aware of BC Hydro Power Smart resources that are available to you? Q Yes Q No
- 72. Does the company plan to expand in the next three years? O Yes O No

Will it be in this community? $\ensuremath{\mathbf{O}}$ Yes $\ensuremath{\mathbf{O}}$ No

Is your current site adequate for the proposed expansion? O Yes O No

\$ _____ Estimated total investment

_____ Approximate percentage equipment/technology

Approximate percentage real estate

Estimated facility size increase (sq/ft)

Estimated timeframe for expansion $\mathbf{Q} < 1$ Year \mathbf{Q} 1-3 Years $\mathbf{Q} > 3$ Years

- 73. What, if any, are the major constraints on your expansion? (Please check all that are applicable)
 □ Broadband access □ Energy costs □ Energy reliability □ Finance □ Identifying and accessing new markets □ Lack of skilled staff □ Lack of suitable premises □ Local regulations e.g. zoning □ Problems with DAs □ Roads □ Transport/freight □ Warehousing □ Other (please specify): ______
- 74. Have you approached anybody in local/provincial/federal government or business development organizations to discuss your expansion plans?

Q Yes Q No

- 76. Have you factored improvements in energy efficiency in your expansion plans? O Yes O No
- 77. Facility/Equipment notes:



GOVERNMENT SERVICES

Key: Q = Select One

78. Please rate the following:

| Services | 1 2 3 4 5 6 |
|---|-------------|
| Services | 12 5 4 5 0 |
| Access to airport facilities: | 000000 |
| Access to highway/roadway: | 000000 |
| Access to markets: | 000000 |
| Access to suppliers: | 000000 |
| Availability of road transport services: | 000000 |
| Availability of rail transport: | 000000 |
| Availability of warehousing: | 000000 |
| Disposal of waste material: | 000000 |
| Recycling: | 000000 |
| Inspections (eg: licensing): | 000000 |
| Development approval process: | 000000 |
| Telecommunications (tel, Internet, Cell) | 000000 |
| Availability of buildings for lease or purchase | 000000 |
| Availability of appropriately zoned land: | 000000 |
| Water and sewerage supply: | 000000 |
| Other (please specify): | 000000 |

79. Do you have any suggestions on how to improve any of the services and infrastructure listed above? O Yes O No

If Yes, how?

80. Municipal services notes:



BUSINESS CLIMATE

Key: Q = Select One

81. Please rate the following:

- Use the following rating system: 1 = Excellent
- 2 = Good
- 3 = Fair
- 4 = Poor 5 = No opinion

| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|----------------------------------|----------|---|---|---|---|
| Workforce quality: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Workforce availability: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Workforce stability: | <u> </u> | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Local government: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Local tax structure: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Province tax structure: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Workers compensation rates: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Economic development: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cultural/Recreational amenities: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Housing: | o | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| K - 12 education: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Colleges/Universities: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Technical training: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

- 82. Notes on business climate rankings:
- 83. Please rate the local business climate: Q Excellent Q Good Q Fair Q Poor
- 84. What are the community's strengths as a place to do business?
- 85. What are the community's weaknesses as a place to do business?
- 86. Are there any barriers to growth in this community? Q Yes Q No

If Yes, what are they?

87. Are there suppliers you think could locate in this region? Q Yes Q No

If Yes, please list:



88. Are there customers that we should attract to this region? O Yes O No

If Yes, please list:

Please compare the local business climate today versus 5 years ago:
 O Better today O No change O Worse today O No opinion

If worse today, why?

90. Please forecast the condition of the local business climate 5 years from today: Q Will be better Q No change Q Will be worse Q No opinion

91. Business climate notes:

ASSESSMENT

92. Rate the following:

| Please use the following rating scale: 1 = Excellent; 2 = Good; 3 = Fair; 4 = Poor; 5 = Not applicable | | | | | |
|---|----------|---|---|---|---|
| Rate the following | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Facility's overall health | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Overall health of the parent company | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Local management's attitude toward the community | <u> </u> | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Parent company's attitude towards the community | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

93. Rate the risk:

| Please use the following rating scale: 1 = Low; 2 = Moderate; 3 = High | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Rate the risk | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Facility closing in the next 1 - 3 years | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Facility downsizing in the next 1 - 3 years | 0 | 0 | 0 |

94. Are there any local expansion plans in the next 12 - 18 months? O Yes O No

95. Assessment notes:

Key: Q = Select One

APPENDIX B: DATA TABLES

COMPANY INFORMATION

| Industry Classification | | | |
|---|----|------|--|
| agriculture, forestry, fishing and mining | 5 | 9% | |
| utilities | 1 | 2% | |
| construction | 2 | 3% | |
| manufacturing | 9 | 16% | |
| retail and wholesale trade | 17 | 29% | |
| finance/insurance | 2 | 3% | |
| professional, scientific and technical services | 2 | 3% | |
| arts, entertainment and recreation | 2 | 3% | |
| accommodation and food service | 11 | 19% | |
| other services | 7 | 12% | |
| Total | 58 | 100% | |

| Location of Competitors | | | |
|-------------------------|----|-----|--|
| Local/regional | 46 | 79% | |
| Elsewhere in BC | 14 | 24% | |
| Elsewhere in Canada | 6 | 10% | |
| International | 8 | 14% | |
| Unique Respondents | 74 | | |

| Success Factors | | | |
|--|----|-----|--|
| family friendly & safe | 1 | 2% | |
| location / proximity to markets or amenities | 9 | 16% | |
| quality products & service | 16 | 28% | |
| diversity, flexibility/hours of operation & prices | 10 | 17% | |
| quality staff & customer service | 24 | 41% | |

| customers / reputation | 5 | 9% |
|-----------------------------|----|-----|
| length of time in business | 9 | 16% |
| niche market / market share | 7 | 12% |
| investing in new equipment | 1 | 2% |
| local supply | 4 | 7% |
| other | 4 | 7% |
| Unique respondents | 58 | |

| Life Cycle Stage | | | |
|--------------------------|----|------|--|
| Emerging | 3 | 5% | |
| Growing | 32 | 55% | |
| Maturing (status quo) | 22 | 38% | |
| Declining | 1 | 2% | |
| Total | 58 | 100% | |

| | Ownership Status | |
|---------|------------------|------|
| Public | 2 | 3% |
| Private | 56 | 97% |
| Total | 58 | 100% |

| Type of Company | | | |
|-------------------------------|----|------|--|
| Sole Proprietorship | 13 | 22% | |
| Partnership | 6 | 10% | |
| Corporation | 36 | 62% | |
| Limited Liability Partnership | 1 | 2% | |
| Non-Profit | 1 | 2% | |
| Other | 1 | 2% | |
| Total | 58 | 100% | |

Location of Headquarters

In Province 55 95%

Columbia Basin Business Retention and Expansion Project: Report on Boundary Businesses

| In Canada | 1 | 2% |
|---------------|----|------|
| International | 2 | 3% |
| Total | 58 | 100% |

| Length of Time in Business | | | |
|----------------------------|----|------|--|
| Less than 1 year | 2 | 3% | |
| 1-4 years | 9 | 16% | |
| 5-9 years | 8 | 14% | |
| 10-19 years | 15 | 26% | |
| More than 20 years | 24 | 41% | |
| Total | 58 | 100% | |

| Function of Facility | | | |
|----------------------|----|-----|--|
| Distribution | 11 | 20% | |
| Engineering / R&D | 6 | 11% | |
| Headquarters | 18 | 33% | |
| Manufacturing | 10 | 18% | |
| Services | 47 | 85% | |
| Warehousing | 7 | 13% | |
| Unique respondents | 99 | | |

| Other Similar Locations | | |
|-------------------------|---|-----|
| In Canada | 8 | 14% |
| Elsewhere in World | 7 | 12% |

| Ownership and Management Changes | | | | |
|----------------------------------|----|-----|--|--|
| Recent ownership change | 15 | 26% | | |
| Recent management change | 10 | 17% | | |
| Pending ownership change | 8 | 14% | | |

| Exit Strategy | |
|-------------------------------|---|
| family sale/transfer | 3 |
| non-family sale/transfer | 3 |
| another company sale/transfer | 2 |
| close business | 0 |
| other | 0 |

| Respondent Age (Estimated) | | | |
|----------------------------|----|------|--|
| over 65 | 2 | 5% | |
| 60-65 yrs | 9 | 23% | |
| 54-59 yrs | 6 | 15% | |
| 50-54 yrs | 6 | 15% | |
| 45-49 yrs | 4 | 10% | |
| 44 yrs & under | 12 | 31% | |
| Total | 39 | 100% | |

| Successor Identified | | |
|----------------------|----|------|
| Yes | 9 | 22% |
| No | 32 | 78% |
| Total | 41 | 100% |

| Formal Succession Plan | | |
|------------------------|----|------|
| Yes | 5 | 13% |
| No | 27 | 68% |
| N/A | 8 | 20% |
| Total | 40 | 100% |

| Current Business Plan | | |
|-----------------------|----|------|
| Yes | 23 | 50% |
| No | 23 | 50% |
| Total | 46 | 100% |

LOCAL WORKFORCE

| Number of Full-Time Employees | | |
|-------------------------------|----|------|
| Less than 5 | 37 | 64% |
| 5-9 | 7 | 12% |
| 10-19 | 6 | 10% |
| 20-49 | 4 | 7% |
| 50-99 | 2 | 3% |
| 100+ | 2 | 3% |
| Total | 58 | 100% |

| Number of Part-Time Employees | | |
|-------------------------------|----|------|
| None | 17 | 29% |
| Less than 10 | 37 | 64% |
| More than 10 | 4 | 7% |
| Total | 58 | 100% |

| Number of Temporary Employees | | |
|-------------------------------|----|------|
| None | 51 | 88% |
| Less than 10 | 6 | 10% |
| More than 10 | 1 | 2% |
| Total | 58 | 100% |

| Number of Total Employees | | | |
|---------------------------|----|------|--|
| Less than 5 | 24 | 41% | |
| 5 to 9 | 14 | 24% | |
| 10 to 19 | 8 | 14% | |
| 20 to 49 | 8 | 14% | |
| 50 to 99 | 2 | 3% | |
| 100+ | 2 | 3% | |
| Total | 58 | 100% | |

| Employment Trend Over Last 10 Years | | |
|-------------------------------------|----|------|
| Decreased | 4 | 7% |
| Stayed the same | 36 | 62% |
| Increased | 18 | 31% |
| Total | 58 | 100% |

| Full-Time Employment Trend Over Last 3 Years | | |
|--|----|------|
| Decreased | 5 | 9% |
| Stayed the same | 33 | 57% |
| Increased | 20 | 34% |
| Total | 58 | 100% |

| Part-Time Employment Trend Over Last 3 Years | | |
|--|----|------|
| Decreased | 3 | 5% |
| Stayed the same | 41 | 71% |
| Increased | 14 | 24% |
| Total | 58 | 100% |

| Temporary Employment Trend Over Last 3 Years | | |
|--|----|------|
| Decreased | 0 | 0% |
| Stayed the same | 53 | 93% |
| Increased | 4 | 7% |
| Total | 57 | 100% |

| Full-Time Employment Trend Over Next 3 Years | | |
|--|----|------|
| Decreasing | 2 | 4% |
| Staying the same | 32 | 56% |
| Increasing | 23 | 40% |
| Total | 57 | 100% |

| Part-Time Employment Trend Over Next 3 Years | | |
|--|----|------|
| Decreasing | 2 | 4% |
| Staying the same | 36 | 63% |
| Increasing | 19 | 33% |
| Total | 57 | 100% |

| Temporary Employment Trend Over Next 3 Years | | |
|--|----|------|
| Decreasing | 1 | 2% |
| Staying the same | 51 | 93% |
| Increasing | 3 | 5% |
| Total | 55 | 100% |

| Trend in Unfilled Positions | | |
|-----------------------------|----|------|
| Decreasing | 0 | 0% |
| Staying the same | 56 | 98% |
| Increasing | 1 | 2% |
| Total | 57 | 100% |

| Training Budget | | |
|-----------------|----|------|
| Yes | 16 | 28% |
| No | 42 | 72% |
| Total | 58 | 100% |

| Location of Workforce Recruitment | | |
|-----------------------------------|----|------|
| Local | 50 | 89% |
| Provincial | 5 | 9% |
| National | 1 | 2% |
| International | 0 | 0% |
| Total | 56 | 100% |

| Skilled/Professional Employees as % of Workforce | | |
|--|----|------|
| Less than 25% | 17 | 29% |
| 25-49% | 10 | 17% |
| 50-74% | 9 | 16% |
| 75-100% | 22 | 38% |
| Total | 58 | 100% |

| Semi-Skilled Employees as % of Workforce | | |
|--|----|------|
| Less than 25% | 32 | 55% |
| 25-49% | 11 | 19% |
| 50-74% | 10 | 17% |
| 75-100% | 5 | 9% |
| Total | 58 | 100% |

| Entry-Level Employees as % of Workforce | | |
|---|----|------|
| Less than 25% | 43 | 74% |
| 25-49% | 4 | 7% |
| 50-74% | 6 | 10% |
| 75-100% | 5 | 9% |
| Total | 58 | 100% |

| Average Wage of Skilled/Professional Employees | | |
|--|----|------|
| Under \$20 | 11 | 50% |
| \$20-\$29 | 8 | 36% |
| \$30-\$49 | 3 | 14% |
| \$50+ | 0 | 0% |
| Total | 22 | 100% |

| Average Wage of Semi-Skilled Employees | | |
|--|----|-----|
| \$10-\$14 | 10 | 53% |
| \$15-\$19 | 4 | 21% |

| \$20-\$24 | 1 | 5% |
|--------------|----|------|
| \$25 or more | 4 | 21% |
| Total | 19 | 100% |

| Average Wage of Entry Level Employees | | |
|---------------------------------------|----|------|
| \$10 to \$12 | 9 | 69% |
| \$13 to \$15 | 1 | 8% |
| \$15 to \$20 | 1 | 8% |
| \$20 to \$25 | 2 | 15% |
| Total | 13 | 100% |

| Wages in Relation to Other Businesses in the Region | | |
|---|----|------|
| Less than | 7 | 13% |
| Same | 23 | 42% |
| Greater than | 25 | 45% |
| Total | 55 | 100% |

| Age of Majority of Essential Employees | | |
|--|----|------|
| Less than 25 | 10 | 18% |
| 25 to 34 | 9 | 16% |
| 35 to 49 | 21 | 38% |
| 50+ | 16 | 29% |
| Total | 56 | 100% |

| Retention Challenge | | |
|---------------------|----|------|
| Yes | 8 | 14% |
| No | 49 | 86% |
| Total | 57 | 100% |

| Recruitment Challenge | | | |
|-----------------------|----|-----|--|
| Yes | 22 | 38% | |

| No | 36 | 62% |
|-------|----|------|
| Total | 58 | 100% |

Current Recruitment Areas

| admin/clerical | 0 |
|------------------------------------|---|
| advanced mfg workers | 1 |
| agriculture / farming | 1 |
| assembler/line workers | 0 |
| CDL drivers/ Class 1 Drivers | 0 |
| construction/contractors | 2 |
| electrical/electronics | 3 |
| food/beverage service | 2 |
| general labourer | 3 |
| graphic arts/printing | 0 |
| healthcare professionals | 1 |
| hospitality service | 5 |
| machine operators | 1 |
| machinists | 2 |
| maintenance | 6 |
| manufacturing other | 1 |
| marketing | 1 |
| material/fabricators | 2 |
| mechanics | 0 |
| plant managers/operators | 0 |
| professional/info tech/programming | 0 |
| professional other | 1 |
| professional technical | 3 |
| sales/retail | 3 |
| sales/service | 1 |
| engineers | 0 |

| hair dressers / salon stylists | 1 | |
|--------------------------------|----|--|
| other | 1 | |
| Total | 41 | |

| Future Recruitment Areas | | |
|------------------------------|---|--|
| admin/clerical | 0 | |
| advanced mfg workers | 0 | |
| agriculture / farming | 1 | |
| assembler/line workers | 0 | |
| CDL drivers/ Class 1 Drivers | 1 | |
| construction/contractors | 2 | |
| electrical/electronics | 2 | |
| food/beverage service | 2 | |
| general labourer | 1 | |
| graphic arts/printing | 0 | |
| healthcare professionals | 1 | |
| hospitality service | 4 | |
| machine operators | 0 | |
| machinists | 2 | |
| maintenance | 5 | |
| manufacturing other | 2 | |
| marketing | 1 | |
| material/fabricators | 2 | |
| mechanics | 0 | |
| plant managers/operators | 0 | |
| prof/info tech/programming | 0 | |
| professional other | 1 | |
| professional technical | 1 | |
| sales/retail | 2 | |
| sales/service | 2 | |

| engineers | 0 |
|--------------------------------|----|
| hair dressers / salon stylists | 1 |
| other | 2 |
| Total | 35 |

| Union Status | | |
|--------------|----|------|
| Yes | 2 | 3% |
| No | 56 | 97% |
| Total | 58 | 100% |

| Professional Development Needs | | |
|----------------------------------|----|------|
| Administrative / Computer Skills | 7 | 14% |
| Technology Skills | 5 | 10% |
| Trades | 14 | 27% |
| Equipment Operators | 5 | 10% |
| Retail & Hospitality | 9 | 18% |
| First Aid / WHMIS / Food Safe | 6 | 12% |
| Business / Management | 4 | 8% |
| Other | 1 | 2% |
| Total | 51 | 100% |

| Usual Training Location | | | |
|-------------------------|----|-----|--|
| Local | 22 | 31% | |
| Regional | 16 | 23% | |
| Provincial | 25 | 36% | |
| National | 4 | 6% | |
| United States | 3 | 4% | |
| Total | 70 | 1 | |

Preferred Training Mechanisms

Classroom/Seminar

32 39%

| Individual Coaching | 25 | 30% |
|-------------------------|----|------|
| Online Webinar/Training | 23 | 28% |
| Apprenticeship | 1 | 1% |
| Trade Shows/Conferences | 1 | 1% |
| Total | 82 | 100% |

SALES

| Annual Sales | | |
|----------------------------|----|------|
| under \$100,000 | 14 | 30% |
| \$100,000 to \$499,999 | 13 | 28% |
| \$500,000 to \$999,999 | 6 | 13% |
| \$1,000,000 to \$4,999,999 | 11 | 23% |
| over \$5,000,000 | 3 | 6% |
| Total | 47 | 100% |

| Status of Market for Product/Service | | |
|--------------------------------------|----|------|
| Decreasing | 5 | 9% |
| Stable | 25 | 43% |
| Increasing | 28 | 48% |
| Total | 58 | 100% |

| Status of Market Share | | | |
|------------------------|----|------|--|
| Decreasing | 3 | 6% | |
| Stable | 25 | 46% | |
| Increasing | 26 | 48% | |
| Total | 54 | 100% | |

| Projected Sales Growth Over Next Year | | |
|---------------------------------------|----|-----|
| declining | 1 | 2% |
| 0% | 12 | 22% |

| 1-9% | 15 | 28% |
|--------|----|------|
| 10-24% | 17 | 31% |
| 25-49% | 4 | 7% |
| 50-99% | 3 | 6% |
| 100%+ | 2 | 4% |
| Total | 54 | 100% |

| Historical Sales Trend: This Location | | |
|---------------------------------------|----|------|
| Declined | 5 | 9% |
| Stayed the same | 19 | 33% |
| Increased | 34 | 59% |
| Total | 58 | 100% |

| Historical Sales Trend: Parent Company | | |
|--|----|------|
| Not Applicable | 45 | 80% |
| Declined | 0 | 0% |
| Stayed the same' | 4 | 7% |
| Increased | 7 | 13% |
| Total | 56 | 100% |

| Historical Sales Trend: Industry | | | |
|----------------------------------|----|-----|--|
| Declined | 6 | 11% | |
| Stayed the same | 18 | 32% | |
| Increased | 17 | 30% | |
| Total | 41 | 72% | |

| Sale | Sales from Top 3 Customers (%) | | |
|------|--------------------------------|----|-----|
| 1-9% | 6 | 23 | 51% |
| 10-2 | .5% | 10 | 22% |
| 26-5 | 60% | 3 | 7% |
| 51-7 | '5% | 3 | 7% |

| 76-100% | 6 | 13% |
|---------|----|------|
| Total | 45 | 100% |

| Government Procurement | | |
|------------------------|----|------|
| yes | 9 | 16% |
| no | 48 | 84% |
| Total | 57 | 100% |

| Source of Sales: Local | | | |
|------------------------|----|------|--|
| 0% | 8 | 14% | |
| under 50% | 7 | 12% | |
| 50 to 99% | 11 | 19% | |
| 100% | 32 | 55% | |
| Total | 58 | 100% | |

| Source of Sales: Provincial | | |
|-----------------------------|----|------|
| 0% | 34 | 59% |
| under 50% | 16 | 28% |
| 50 to 99% | 6 | 10% |
| 100% | 2 | 3% |
| Total | 58 | 100% |

| Source of Sales: National | | |
|---------------------------|----|------|
| 0% | 46 | 79% |
| under 50% | 10 | 17% |
| 50 to 99% | 2 | 3% |
| 100% | 0 | 0% |
| Total | 58 | 100% |

| Source of Sales: United States | | |
|--------------------------------|----|-----|
| 0% | 51 | 88% |

| under 50% | 6 | 10% |
|-----------|----|------|
| 50 to 99% | 1 | 2% |
| 100% | 0 | 0% |
| Total | 58 | 100% |

| Source of Sales: International | | |
|--------------------------------|----|------|
| 0% | 53 | 91% |
| under 50% | 3 | 5% |
| 50 to 99% | 2 | 3% |
| 100% | 0 | 0% |
| Total | 58 | 100% |

| Source of Supplie | es: Local / I | Regional |
|-------------------|---------------|----------|
| 0% | 16 | 28% |
| under 50% | 17 | 29% |
| 50 to 99% | 12 | 21% |
| 100% | 13 | 22% |
| Total | 58 | 100% |

| Source of Supplies: Provincial | | |
|--------------------------------|----|------|
| 0% | 24 | 41% |
| under 50% | 8 | 14% |
| 50 to 99% | 18 | 31% |
| 100% | 8 | 14% |
| Total | 58 | 100% |

| Source of Supplies: National | | |
|------------------------------|----|------|
| 0% | 43 | 74% |
| under 50% | 12 | 21% |
| 50 to 99% | 2 | 3% |
| 100% | 1 | 2% |
| Total | 58 | 100% |

| Source of Supplies: United States | | |
|-----------------------------------|----|------|
| 0% | 45 | 78% |
| under 50% | 8 | 14% |
| 50 to 99% | 5 | 9% |
| 100% | 0 | 0% |
| Total | 58 | 100% |

| Source of Supplies: International | | |
|-----------------------------------|----|------|
| 0% | 54 | 93% |
| under 50% | 2 | 3% |
| 50 to 99% | 1 | 2% |
| 100% | 1 | 2% |
| Total | 58 | 100% |

| International Trade Status | | |
|----------------------------|----|-----|
| Not applicable/None | 47 | 82% |
| Import | 6 | 11% |
| Export | 9 | 16% |
| Unique Respondents | 57 | |

| Export Sales | Trend | l |
|------------------|-------|-----|
| Not Applicable | 37 | 71% |
| Declining | 2 | 4% |
| Staying the Same | 5 | 10% |

| Increasing | 8 | 15% |
|------------|----|------|
| Total | 52 | 100% |

FACILITIES AND EQUIPMENT

| Size of Fa | cilit | ty | |
|----------------------|-------|----|------|
| | 0 | 7 | 12% |
| less than 1000 sq ft | | 10 | 17% |
| 1000 to 4999 sq ft | | 19 | 33% |
| 5000 to 9999 sq ft | | 13 | 22% |
| 10,000 to 19,999 sq | ft | 3 | 5% |
| 20,000 sq ft or mor | e | 6 | 10% |
| Total | | 58 | 100% |

| Ownership Status | | |
|------------------|----|------|
| Leased | 17 | 30% |
| Owned | 40 | 70% |
| Total | 57 | 100% |

| Length of Time Remaining on Lease | | |
|-----------------------------------|----|------|
| less than 1 Year | 5 | 36% |
| 1-2 Years | 3 | 21% |
| 3-5 Years | 5 | 36% |
| More than 5 Years | 1 | 7% |
| Total | 14 | 100% |

| Renewing Lease | | |
|----------------|----|------|
| No | 2 | 13% |
| Yes | 14 | 88% |
| Total | 16 | 100% |

| Condition of Facility | | |
|-----------------------|----|------|
| Poor | 0 | 0% |
| Fair | 8 | 14% |
| Good | 35 | 61% |
| Excellent | 14 | 25% |
| Total | 57 | 100% |

| Condition of Equipment | | | |
|------------------------|----|------|--|
| Poor | 0 | 0% | |
| Fair | 7 | 13% | |
| Good | 34 | 63% | |
| Excellent | 13 | 24% | |
| Total | 54 | 100% | |

| Number of Shifts | | | |
|------------------|----|------|--|
| One Shift | 38 | 72% | |
| Two Shifts | 11 | 21% | |
| 24 Hours | 4 | 8% | |
| Total | 53 | 100% | |

| Investment in Facility (past 18 months) | | |
|---|----|------|
| Decreased | 5 | 9% |
| Stayed the same | 25 | 44% |
| Increased | 27 | 47% |
| Total | 57 | 100% |

| Investment in Equipment (past 18 months) | | |
|--|----|------|
| Decreasing | 2 | 4% |
| Staying the Same | 20 | 35% |
| Increasing | 35 | 61% |
| Total | 57 | 100% |

| Room for Expansion | | |
|--------------------|----|------|
| Yes | 36 | 64% |
| No | 17 | 30% |
| Maybe | 3 | 5% |
| Total | 56 | 100% |

| Awareness of Energy Efficiency Resources | | |
|--|----|------|
| Yes | 37 | 67% |
| No | 18 | 33% |
| Total | 55 | 100% |

| Expansion Plans (Next 3 Years) | | |
|--------------------------------|----|------|
| Yes | 26 | 46% |
| No | 31 | 54% |
| Total | 57 | 100% |

| Expansion in Community | | |
|------------------------|----|------|
| No | 3 | 12% |
| Yes | 22 | 88% |
| Total | 25 | 100% |

| Adequacy of Current Site for Expansion | | |
|--|----|------|
| No | 5 | 22% |
| Yes | 18 | 78% |
| Total | 23 | 100% |

| Estimated Expansion Investment | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|---|-----|--|--|--|
| less than \$25,000 | 7 | 44% | | | |
| \$25,000 to \$99,999 | 1 | 6% | | | |
| \$100,000 to \$499,999 | 4 | 25% | | | |

| \$500,000 to \$999,999 | 1 | 6% |
|------------------------------|----|------|
| \$1,000,000 to 4,999,999 | 1 | 6% |
| \$5,000,000 to \$9,999,999 | 0 | 0% |
| \$10,000,000 to \$19,999,999 | 1 | 6% |
| More than \$20,000,000 | 1 | 6% |
| Total | 16 | 100% |

| Component of Expansion Investment for Equipment | | | | |
|---|---|------|--|--|
| 0% | 0 | 0% | | |
| under 50% | 4 | 50% | | |
| 50 to 99% | 2 | 25% | | |
| 100% | 2 | 25% | | |
| Total | 8 | 100% | | |

| Component of Expansion Investment for Real Estate | | | | |
|---|---|------|--|--|
| 0% | 0 | 0% | | |
| under 50% | 0 | 0% | | |
| 50 to 99% | 4 | 100% | | |
| 100% | 0 | 0% | | |
| Total | 4 | 100% | | |

| Size of Facility Expansion | | | | | |
|----------------------------|---|------|--|--|--|
| Less than 3000 ft2 | 1 | 33% | | | |
| 3000 ft2 or more | 2 | 67% | | | |
| Total | 3 | 100% | | | |

| Timeframe for Expansion | | | | | |
|-------------------------|----|------|--|--|--|
| Less than 1 Year | 4 | 17% | | | |
| 1-3 years | 19 | 83% | | | |
| More than 3 Years | 0 | 0% | | | |
| Total | 23 | 100% | | | |

| Barriers to Expansion | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|----|-----|--|--|--|
| Broadband Access | 0 | 0% | | | |
| Energy Reliability | 0 | 0% | | | |
| Identifying / Accessing New Markets | 4 | 19% | | | |
| Lack of Suitable Premises | 1 | 5% | | | |
| Problems with Development Approvals | 1 | 5% | | | |
| Energy Costs | 1 | 5% | | | |
| Financing | 8 | 38% | | | |
| Lack of Skilled Staff | 5 | 24% | | | |
| Local Regulations (Zoning) | 4 | 19% | | | |
| Roads | 1 | 5% | | | |
| Warehousing | 1 | 5% | | | |
| Real Estate Costs | 1 | 5% | | | |
| Unique Respondents | 21 | | | | |

| Sought Expansion Assistance | | | | |
|-----------------------------|----|------|--|--|
| Yes | 5 | 19% | | |
| No | 21 | 81% | | |
| Total | 26 | 100% | | |

| Considering Energy Efficiency in Expansion Plans | | | | |
|--|----|------|--|--|
| Yes | 12 | 52% | | |
| No | 11 | 48% | | |
| Total | 23 | 100% | | |

GOVERNMENT SERVICES

| Government Services | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|-----|------|------|---------|------|-----------|-------|
| | N/A | Poor | Fair | Average | Good | Excellent | Total |
| Access to airport facilities | 49% | 33% | 5% | 9% | 4% | 0% | 100% |

| Access to highways/roadways | 2% | 0% | 2% | 7% | 53% | 36% | 100% |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|
| Access to markets | 9% | 14% | 9% | 10% | 48% | 10% | 100% |
| Access to suppliers | 5% | 5% | 28% | 17% | 41% | 3% | 100% |
| Availability of road transport services | 14% | 9% | 14% | 14% | 47% | 3% | 100% |
| Availability of rail transport | 79% | 12% | 3% | 2% | 3% | 0% | 100% |
| Availability of warehousing | 57% | 10% | 7% | 5% | 17% | 3% | 100% |
| Disposal of waste material | 16% | 2% | 9% | 12% | 55% | 7% | 100% |
| Recycling | 5% | 7% | 9% | 10% | 53% | 16% | 100% |
| Inspections (e.g., licensing) | 22% | 10% | 5% | 17% | 40% | 5% | 100% |
| Development approval process | 53% | 16% | 12% | 7% | 10% | 2% | 100% |
| Telecommunications | 5% | 12% | 12% | 10% | 50% | 10% | 100% |
| Availability of buildings | 62% | 0% | 9% | 9% | 17% | 3% | 100% |
| Availability of appropriately zoned land | 56% | 9% | 4% | 9% | 21% | 2% | 100% |
| Water and sewerage supply | 14% | 5% | 5% | 7% | 59% | 10% | 100% |

| Recommended Improvements to Government Services | | | | |
|---|----|-----|--|--|
| Road quality | 1 | 7% | | |
| Approvals and permits | 6 | 40% | | |
| Taxes | 1 | 7% | | |
| Telecommunications | 3 | 20% | | |
| Water and sewer | 2 | 13% | | |
| Recycling and waste management | 2 | 13% | | |
| Airports | 1 | 7% | | |
| Freight and couriers | 2 | 13% | | |
| Utilities | 1 | 7% | | |
| Unique Respondents | 19 | | | |

BUSINESS CLIMATE FACTORS

| Business Climate Factors | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|------|------|------|------------|
| Business Climate Factors | Excellent | Good | Fair | Poor | No Opinion |
| Workforce Quality | 10 | 25 | 14 | 4 | 5 |
| Workforce Availability | 4 | 19 | 22 | 9 | 2 |
| Workforce Stability | 3 | 31 | 16 | 4 | 2 |
| Local Government | 6 | 24 | 15 | 6 | 5 |
| Local Tax Structure | 2 | 13 | 21 | 10 | 9 |
| Provincial Tax Structure | 0 | 7 | 25 | 17 | 7 |
| Workers Compensation Rates | 0 | 15 | 13 | 14 | 14 |
| Economic Development | 0 | 10 | 15 | 17 | 14 |
| Cultural / Recreational Amenities | 2 | 27 | 21 | 4 | 2 |
| Housing | 0 | 27 | 13 | 10 | 6 |
| K-12 Education | 3 | 21 | 13 | 6 | 13 |
| Colleges / Universities | 1 | 2 | 12 | 19 | 22 |
| Technical Training | 1 | 4 | 11 | 17 | 22 |
| Overall Business Climate | 0 | 16 | 34 | 5 | 0 |

| Community's Strengths as a Place to do Business | | |
|---|----|-----|
| Culture/ sense of community | 22 | 45% |
| Support for businesses | 8 | 16% |
| Workforce | 2 | 4% |
| Existing industry | 6 | 12% |
| Tourism | 5 | 10% |
| Location | 6 | 12% |
| Lifestyle | 2 | 4% |
| Land availability | 2 | 4% |
| Weather | 5 | 10% |
| Other | 6 | 12% |
| Unique Respondents | 49 | |

| Community's Weaknesses as a Place to do Business | | | |
|--|----|-----|--|
| Size of community/population | 19 | 36% | |
| Age of population | 7 | 13% | |
| Employment opportunities | 7 | 13% | |
| Amenities | 4 | 8% | |
| Attitude towards change/growth | 5 | 9% | |
| Infrastructure | 2 | 4% | |
| Workforce | 2 | 4% | |
| Government/approvals | 3 | 6% | |
| Location | 5 | 9% | |
| Out of area shopping | 4 | 8% | |
| Choice/cost of products | 6 | 11% | |
| Shipping | 2 | 4% | |
| Other | 7 | 13% | |
| Unique respondents | 53 | | |

| Barriers to Growth | | | | |
|--------------------|----|------|--|--|
| Yes | 41 | 73% | | |
| No | 15 | 27% | | |
| Total | 56 | 100% | | |

| Barriers to Growth of Community | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|--|
| Attitude towards change/growth | 10 | 26% | |
| Existing industry/economy | 6 | 16% | |
| Population/market size | 5 | 13% | |
| Demographics | 4 | 11% | |
| Infrastructure | 2 | 5% | |
| Government/zoning | 9 | 24% | |
| Location | 3 | 8% | |
| Housing | 2 | 5% | |
| Other | 4 | 11% | |
| Unique respondents | 38 | | |

| Important to Attract Suppliers | | |
|--------------------------------|----|------|
| Yes | 10 | 18% |
| No | 47 | 82% |
| Total | 57 | 100% |

| Important to Attract Customers | | |
|--------------------------------|----|------|
| Yes | 29 | 54% |
| No | 25 | 46% |
| Total | 54 | 100% |

| Customers to Attract | | |
|---------------------------|---|-----|
| Seniors | 4 | 16% |
| Tourists/Amenity migrants | 8 | 32% |
| Young people | 4 | 16% |

| Agriculture & Wineries | 3 | 12% |
|---------------------------|----|------|
| Businesses from Elsewhere | 6 | 24% |
| Total | 25 | 100% |

| Business Climate Today vs 5 Years Ago | | |
|---------------------------------------|----|------|
| Better today | 12 | 21% |
| No change | 10 | 18% |
| Worse today | 30 | 53% |
| No opinion | 5 | 9% |
| Total | 57 | 100% |

| Business Climate 5 Years from Today | | |
|-------------------------------------|----|------|
| Will be better | 29 | 53% |
| No change | 18 | 33% |
| Will be worse | 4 | 7% |
| No opinion | 4 | 7% |
| Total | 55 | 100% |

ASSESSMENT AND PLANS

| Company's Overall Health | | | |
|--------------------------|----|------|--|
| Poor | 0 | 0% | |
| Fair | 8 | 15% | |
| Good | 39 | 71% | |
| Excellent | 8 | 15% | |
| Total | 55 | 100% | |

| Parent Company's Overall Health | | | | |
|---------------------------------|----|-----|--|--|
| Not applicable | 38 | 67% | | |
| Poor | 1 | 2% | | |
| Fair | 0 | 0% | | |

| Good | 13 | 23% |
|-----------|----|------|
| Excellent | 5 | 9% |
| Total | 57 | 100% |

| Local Management's Attitude Toward Community | | | | |
|--|----|------|--|--|
| Not applicable | 6 | 11% | | |
| Poor | 0 | 0% | | |
| Fair | 5 | 9% | | |
| Good | 30 | 53% | | |
| Excellent | 16 | 28% | | |
| Total | 57 | 100% | | |

| Parent Company's Attitude Toward Community | | | | |
|--|----|------|--|--|
| Not applicable | 40 | 70% | | |
| Poor | 0 | 0% | | |
| Fair | 0 | 0% | | |
| Good | 7 | 12% | | |
| Excellent | 10 | 18% | | |
| Total | 57 | 100% | | |

| Risk of Facility Closing | | | | |
|--------------------------|----|------|--|--|
| Low | 50 | 88% | | |
| Moderate | 6 | 11% | | |
| High | 1 | 2% | | |
| Total | 57 | 100% | | |

| Risk of Facility Downsizing | | | | |
|-----------------------------|----|------|--|--|
| Low | 50 | 88% | | |
| Moderate | 5 | 9% | | |
| High | 2 | 4% | | |
| Total | 57 | 100% | | |

| Expansion Plans (Next 12 Months) | | | | |
|----------------------------------|----|------|--|--|
| Yes | 19 | 34% | | |
| No | 37 | 66% | | |
| Total | 56 | 100% | | |

ISSUES OF REGIONAL INTEREST

| Critical Issues for Employees | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|----|-----|--|--|--|
| Child care | 9 | 41% | | | |
| Cost of living | 19 | 86% | | | |
| Housing | 9 | 41% | | | |
| Transportation | 9 | 41% | | | |
| Other | 3 | 14% | | | |
| Unique Respondents | 22 | | | | |

| Home of Employees | | | | | | |
|--|------|------|------|--|--|--|
| In Community Elsewhere in Region Outside of Region | | | | | | |
| 0-24% | 0% | 96% | 100% | | | |
| 25-49% | 0% | 4% | 0% | | | |
| 50-74% | 4% | 0% | 0% | | | |
| 75-100% | 96% | 0% | 0% | | | |
| Total | 100% | 100% | 100% | | | |

| Reason for Out of Area Purchasing | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|----|-----|--|--|--|
| Product not available here | 20 | 83% | | | |
| Quality of product available here | 1 | 4% | | | |
| Higher costs locally | 5 | 21% | | | |
| No control/Head office decision | 2 | 8% | | | |
| Unaware of local vendors | 1 | 4% | | | |
| Other | 2 | 8% | | | |
| Unique respondents | 24 | | | | |

| | Business | Competitiven | ess Factors | | | |
|--|-------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|-------|
| | Not Applicable | Not Important at All | Not Very Important | Somewhat Important | Very Important | Total |
| New Product Research and Development | 2 | 1 | 3 | 7 | 13 | 26 |
| New Market Development Locally | 4 | 3 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 27 |
| New Market Development Outside of Region | 6 | 5 | 4 | 7 | 5 | 27 |
| Access to Exporting and International Markets | 16 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 27 |
| Add or Change in Business, Products or Services | 5 | 0 | 5 | 11 | 6 | 27 |
| Strategic Alliances | 6 | 1 | 2 | 17 | 1 | 27 |
| Worker Productivity Improvements | 2 | 0 | 2 | 9 | 14 | 27 |
| Expansion of Workforce | 2 | 0 | 6 | 12 | 7 | 27 |
| Workplace Health and Safety | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 20 | 27 |
| Workforce Skill Development | 1 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 16 | 27 |
| Energy Costs | 1 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 16 | 27 |
| Water/Sewer Availability | 2 | 1 | 3 | 7 | 14 | 27 |
| Water/Sewer Costs | 2 | 0 | 2 | 9 | 14 | 27 |
| Reliable Air Transportation | 13 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 27 |
| Affordable Shipping/Freight | 2 | 2 | 0 | 8 | 15 | 27 |
| Improvement of Customer Services | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 21 | 27 |
| Availability of Telecommunications Infrastructure and Services | 1 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 17 | 20 |

| Canadian Dollar Exchange Rate | 7 | 2 | 1 | 9 | 8 | 27 |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|---|----|----|----|
| Accessing Capital | 7 | 2 | 1 | 11 | 4 | 25 |
| Business Management Improvements | 1 | 1 | 1 | 14 | 10 | 27 |

| Economic Drivers with Growth Potential | | |
|---|----|-----|
| Forestry | 13 | 48% |
| Manufacturing | 7 | 26% |
| Education | 2 | 7% |
| Tourism | 19 | 70% |
| Technology-based businesses | 6 | 22% |
| Arts, Culture & Creative Businesses | 6 | 22% |
| Health & Wellness | 2 | 7% |
| Relocation of People from Urban Centres | 6 | 22% |
| Government | 1 | 4% |
| Green / Environmental Businesses | 7 | 26% |
| Finance | 0 | 0% |
| Construction | 3 | 11% |
| Other Niche Service Businesses | 2 | 7% |
| Agriculture | 8 | 30% |
| Other | 0 | 0% |
| Unique Respondents | 27 | |